

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/996,637	11/29/2001	Steven Peter Sheltz	9D-EC-19863/064853.030	4051
29391	7590 06/03/2004		EXAMINER	
BEUSSE BROWNLEE WOLTER MORA & MAIRE, P. A. 390 NORTH ORANGE AVENUE SUITE 2500 ORLANDO, FL 32801			FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	09/996,637	SHELTZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph A. Fischetti	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of tima may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failura to reply within the sat or axtandad period for reply will, by statuta, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 f</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 and 19-24 is/as 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/as	re withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/996,637

Art Unit: 3627

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-10, drawn to method of making a warranty, classified in class 705, subclass 80.

Page 2

Claims 11-18, drawn to a method of making an offer, classified in class
 705, subclass 26.

III. Claims 19-24, drawn to a memory device, classified in class 369, subclass 280.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Atty. Nixon on 5/25/04 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of II, claims 11-18. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-10, 19-24 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

0

6

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not understood how synchronizing does anything to further limit the scope of the claim unless the desired result is specified.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 1, in particular, fails to positively recite any technological art which to the exclusion of human intervention effects the method.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,637

Art Unit: 3627

1

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Walker 6119099. Walker et al disclose a method for managing electronic data to enable a transaction between a consumer and a service center, by: generating an offer for extended warranty services associated with one or more products purchased by the consumer (pos terminal outputs signal indicative of identified upsell col. 6 lines 39-41 and upsell can be extended warranty col. 5 lines 55-56); selecting a paper solicit and/or an electronic solicit to be delivered to the consumer that will incorporate the offer (POS displaying text or graphic is read as a selection of an electronic solicit); and delivering the selected solicit to the consumer (POS delivers solicit to consumer via the display).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker '099 in view of Call. Walker as set forth above discloses a method enabling a transaction between a consumer and a service center. However, it is silent on making its offer via different forms of communication, including the use if email notifications. Call does offer the customer the options of receiving notifications via e-mail, telephone or regular mail see col. 6 lines 13-15. In addition Call discloses in col. 2 embedding in a

Application/Control Number: 09/996,637

mandated by law in many jurisdictions.

Art Unit: 3627

Page 5

message a HTTP request which is returned with a locator header containing a destination URL specifying the particular Internet address. It would be obvious to modify Walker '099 to include the communication options of Call since the motivation would be to satisfy the customer and further to modify Walker '099 to include the unique identifier, disclosed as associated with the offers set forth in Walker at col. 10 lines 10-67, within the body of the email so as to embed a link within the email to the offer, the motivation being that this would ease the effort of the consumer in finding the solicit.

Official notice is taken with regard to the suppress function in email sending which is

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Primary Examiner Joseph A. Fischetti at telephone number (703) 305-0731.

97